

*“The International Court of Justice,*

*Regarding the case of The Relocation of the US embassy to Jerusalem between Palestine and the United States of America*

*We have found the following statements of fact:*

The ICJ does have jurisdiction over the case as the statute of the International Court of Justice statute overrides the monetary gold doctrine. The judges discussed this in the first issue of: Does the monetary gold principle apply in the case and voted 5 to 6

On the issue: Was it legitimate for the USA to claim that Jerusalem is the capital of Israel. The judges voted unanimously in favor however, decided that the issues are not interlinked and therefore did not weigh this much in the verdict.

*Ultimately, the USA was found not in violation of international laws*

*The final vote was 5 against and 6 in favor of the USA*

*Due to the close nature of the verdict, the ICJ demands that the US embassy greatens the mandate of the PAU and adheres to a quota of at least 40% Palestinians working in the US embassy in Jerusalem.*

*The ICJ also requests that the USA retracts its recognition of Jerusalem as the capital of Israel*